

Schary, Claire

From: Carrie Sanneman <sanneman@willamettepartnership.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 16, 2014 3:50 PM
To: hbre461@ECY.WA.GOV; Schary, Claire; Bobby Cochran; Joe Furia; Karin Power; Neil Mullane; Tim Wigington
Subject: Revisions to Recommendations
Attachments: Recommendations on WQT_ThirdDraft_2014 07 16_TFT WP ECY.docx

Hi all,

We've kicked around your proposed changes. We are good with most of them, and have come up with the following feedback. All new changes are shown in **bright green highlight**. Let us know how you feel about these, and note that we will do a sweep for formatting and internal cross-references once the we are finished with the revision cycles.

Getting closer and closer! Thanks!
Carrie

- Removed "or mixing zone" from Section 1.2.2, #2 per Susan's comment
- Small omission to the direct quote that Helen added, leaving out reference to point source waste load allocation, as that is not the focus here and may be confusing.
- SC9 – Clarifying edit re: Claire's comment that it was confusing
- Added a couple "and/or" in – see paragraph w/SC9 and in FN called out by HB10
- FN 85 v. 91 consistency – see my edits to FN 91. I pulled out the TMDL LA, TMDL imp plan and settlement pieces b/c this FN is just talking about non-state baseline sources and the need to continue to comply with those other requirements.
- Section 2.1 intro, just added in "control" into "general nonpoint source control authority"
- SC16 – made stylistic edits to Claire's changes
- SC19 – Revised the example that Claire's added to avoid confusion between baseline requirements and trading ratios.
- SC20 – Given that this is an example (starts with "In some trading contexts..."), we don't feel that this affects how a zero discharge scenario would play out. Suggest retaining existing text.